

Research Paper

Health Risk Assessment and Levels of Toxic Heavy Metals in *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus* from Alwero and Abay River Basin

Erkihun Massresha^{1*}, Hintsa Mateos², Paul Lewandowski³, Ashagrie Zewdu²¹Department of Food Engineering, Wolkite University, Wolkite, Ethiopia.²Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia³School of Medicine, Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, Australia

Abstract

Article History:

Received: 11 February 2026

Accepted: 10 March 2026

Published online: 22

March 2026

Keywords:

Heavy metal, Health

Risk Assessment,

O. niloticus,*C. gariepinus*, Abay

River, Alwero River

This study investigated concentrations of toxic heavy metals (Cr, Pb, Hg, Sn, As, Co, Cd) in the muscle and gill tissues of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus* from Ethiopia's Alwero and Abay Rivers, alongside the associated human health risks. Eighty fish samples (20 per species per river) were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Metal accumulation was significantly higher in gills than in muscle across species and sites ($p < 0.05$). Muscle profiles varied by location: *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* exhibited dominant Sn and As concentrations in the Alwero and Abay Rivers, respectively. Notably, while target hazard quotient (THQ) and hazard index (HI) values remained below 1, indicating no significant non-carcinogenic risk, the carcinogenic risk (CR) for inorganic arsenic in *C. gariepinus* from the Abay River (1.36×10^{-4}) exceeded the acceptable threshold of 10^{-4} . Furthermore, chromium, lead, and arsenic levels in the Abay River frequently exceeded global safety limits established by the European Commission and the FAO/WHO. Conversely, fish from the Alwero River generally fell within safe consumption limits, despite elevated tin levels in gills. The identified toxicological hazards highlight a critical inflection point for Ethiopian fisheries management. Integrating stringent effluent standards with continuous bio-sentinel monitoring is essential to mitigate the long-term oncogenic risks associated with the consumption of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from these vulnerable riverine catchments.

1. Introduction

Pollution by heavy metals is a global problem because these elements are persistent, non-biodegradable, and harmful to human health and the environment (Jadaa & Mohammed, 2023; Abdullah et al., 2024). Aquatic ecosystems are uniquely vulnerable to heavy metal enrichment, primarily driven by anthropogenic inputs such as industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, and municipal wastewater (Singh et al., 2022). Fish possess a remarkable ability to sequester metallic

pollutants within their systems, absorbing these toxins through a combination of respiratory intake, food consumption, and direct contact with benthic deposits (Agbugui & Abe, 2022). Consequently, fish act as the primary vector for transferring metallic contaminants from aquatic environments to human populations through the food web (Habib et al., 2024).

Fish act as an economical source of good-quality, complete protein, along with crucial minerals and

*Correspondence: erkmass@gmail.com

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70984/6m5qds39>

omega-3 fatty acids, thus posing metal contamination concerns in some commercially valuable fish species globally (Singh et al., 2022; Habib et al., 2024). Research has extensively reported fish metal exposure levels beyond the internationally accepted limits. The non-carcinogenic and carcinogenic risks associated with metal exposure in fish have been quantified using Estimated Daily Intake (EDI), Target Hazard Quotient (THQ/HQ), Hazard Index (HI), and Cancer Risk (CR) (Agbugui & Abe, 2022; Abdullah et al., 2024). Some reports have reported bioaccumulation patterns for different fish species and their tissues, along with the identification of vulnerable groups like children (Phaenark et al., 2024; Oros, 2025).

Fish are widely used to assess whether an ecosystem is polluted by examining both local sources of pollution and the movement of pollutants through the food chain (Dippong et al., 2024; Younis et al., 2024). In Ethiopia, the Abay and Alwero Rivers are socio-economically important freshwater systems that support artisanal and commercial fisheries (Anteneh et al., 2023; Bor, 2025). However, ongoing developments in agriculture and urban areas, along with inadequate waste management practices, may have increased the amount of metals entering these systems and affected the safety of popular fish species, such as *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus* (Delilo, 2020; Asmamaw et al., 2021). Despite this, comparative information on metal burdens in edible versus non-edible tissues of these species, and the consequent health risks for local consumers, remains scarce.

This research bridges critical knowledge gaps by evaluating bioaccumulation profiles of Cr, Pb, Hg, Sn, As, and Cd across somatic and non-somatic tissues in *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus*. By comparing the Abay and Alwero Rivers, the study elucidates species specific metal partitioning and site dependent environmental risks; (ii) determining differences between

species and rivers of metal accumulation; and (iii) assessing potential human health risks by estimating EDI and HQ (and related indices) for comparison to international guidelines such as those developed by the FAO/WHO and EU (Agbugui & Abe, 2022; Jadaa & Mohammed, 2023; Abdullah et al., 2024).

2. Method and Materials

2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in the Abay River and the Alwero River, located in basins with potential for productive inland fisheries and notable susceptibility to metal contamination in water, sediment, and edible fish tissues (Melake et al., 2023). The Abay River, Ethiopia's longest river, serves as the sole natural outlet of Lake Tana and originates from the northwestern highlands (11°36'93.6''N, 37°24'53.85''E) (Figure 1). Its headwater rises at approximately 1,830 m a.s.l. in the southwest portion of Lake Tana. It has a watershed of about 15,054 km² and has high-energy flow, deep volcanic canyons, and a controlled flow at the Chara Chara weir (Mulatu et al., 2024). Rapid urban development in Bahir Dar and adjacent towns, combined with existing hydropower and irrigation projects, has already changed the natural flow patterns and caused moderate to severe ecological impacts downstream of these projects (Roth et al., 2018; Mulatu et al., 2024).

The Alwero River (07°86'N, 34°50'E) is in Abobo Woreda (Figure 1), within Ethiopia's Gambella Region in the lowland Baro–Akobo basin; hence, it is one of Ethiopia's most species-rich drainage basins and contains large areas of wetland floodplains (Melake et al., 2023). The basin also provides support for the people who inhabit the vicinity of the river basin, who rely on fishing, pastoralism, and shifting cultivation for subsistence. Human actions that have affected the basin include large-scale agribusinesses and irrigated rice and oil-palm farms, as well as small-scale artisanal gold mining activities in the Alwero River, where human agricultural

activities and use of agrochemicals have increased the trace metals in aquatic food web systems (Melake et al., 2023). Both Abay and Alwero Rivers support rich and diverse aquatic communities, and they contain many commercially important species such as *O.*

niloticus, *C. gariepinus*, *Labeobarbus intermedius*, *Lates niloticus*, and *Bagrus docmak*, which dominate inland fisheries and provide local people with a readily available, affordable source of animal protein (Melake et al., 2023; Bor, 2025).




Figure 1. Map of Ethiopia illustrating the locations of the Abay and Alwero River study sites

2.2. Collection of Fish Sample

Fish sampling was conducted in both Alwero and Abay Rivers, with 20 fish sampled from each site for both species, i.e., *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus*. To mitigate exogenous contamination, specimens were subjected to standardized rinsing with deionized water, effectively eliminating superficial metallic residues from the scales and integumentary surfaces. Subsequent tissue extraction strictly adhered to the EMERGE protocol as delineated by Rosseland et al. (2001), ensuring high-fidelity sampling for trace element analysis. The fish muscle and gill tissue were sampled using a set

of specialized surgical blades and scissors made of stainless steel. Prior to the sampling procedure, the surgical equipment was treated with a 70% nitric acid solution. After collecting the fish tissue, specimens were promptly sequestered in high-purity aluminum foil and cryopreserved at -20°C for 72 hours. For the final analytical procedure, the fish tissue was transported through air transport in ice boxes to Horticoop Ethiopia PLC for analysis of heavy metal content using Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES).

2.3. Sample Preparation and Digestion Protocol

To preserve chemical homogeneity, tissue specimens were mechanically homogenized into a fine powder using a pre-cleaned porcelain mortar and pestle. Microwave-assisted digestion was performed as per the methods proposed by Junior et al. (2024), as it helps in the preservation of volatile constituents of the sample and reduces the amount of reagents consumed during the digestion of the sample. About 0.5 g of the specimen was weighed, and the digestion was performed by mixing it with 14 ml of 65 % HNO₃, 2 ml of 30 % H₂O₂, 2 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄, and 1 ml of concentrated HCl in Teflon vessels as per the methods proposed by Mohammed et al. (2017). The digested sample was filtered into 50 ml conical flasks, and the sample was diluted up to the desired volume by using 3 % HNO₃. The final analysis was performed by making 1:10 dilutions of the sample using 3 % HNO₃ and reagent blanks to check for the presence of any contaminant in the sample.

2.4. Instrumental Analysis and Quality Control

Elemental analysis of chromium (Cr), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), copper (Co), cadmium (Cd), and tin (Sn) was carried out using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP OES, AMETEK), a device operated by Horticoop Ethiopia PLC. Instrument calibration was carried out using a multi-element standard solution. Metal concentrations were reported as mg/kg dry weight (DW). Instrument precision was ensured using a homogeneous sample mixture and microwave digestion conditions for the complete degradation of the sample.

2.5. Human Health Risk Assessment

2.5.1. Non-carcinogenic Risk Assessment

Human health risks from dietary exposure to metallic contaminants were quantified using the Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) and Hazard Index

(HI) frameworks (USEPA, 2019). THQ or HI values < 1 imply a low probability of adverse effects on an individual's health, whereas THQ or HI values > 1.0 indicate a non-negligible potential for adverse health outcomes arising from the chronic ingestion of fish muscle. The THQ for each metal was calculated as:

$$THQ = \frac{EF \cdot ED \cdot FIR \cdot C}{RfD \cdot WAB \cdot ATn} \times 10^{-3}$$

where EF equals the number of days that people in a year are exposed to fish, ED is the length of time over which adults are exposed to fish, FIR is the weight of fish that adults eat each day and C is how much metal is in muscle from fish (mg kg⁻¹ DW). RfD is the number of fish that is safe to eat each day (mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹). WAB is the average weight of adult humans (kg), i.e., 70 kg; the number of days that non-cancer-causing agents can cause cancer is equal to the average length of time from exposure to death (365 days year⁻¹ x ED); for carcinogenic agents, 70 years x 365 days.

2.5.2. Index of Hazard (HI)

The Hazard Index (HI) was calculated to characterize the aggregate non-carcinogenic risk arising from multi-elemental exposure.

$$HI = \sum_{i=1}^n THQ$$

Values of HI and THQ < 1 indicate a low likelihood of adverse, non-cancer effects occurring. Values > 1 could indicate a possible hazard to the exposed population (USEPA, 2012).

2.5.3. Carcinogenic Risk Assessment

Chronic Daily Intake (CDI, mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹) via ingestion was calculated for each metal as:

$$CDI_{fish} = \frac{C_{fish} \times FIR \times ED \times EF}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-3}$$

RfD refers to the oral reference dose (mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹); SF refers to the slope factor for carcinogenicity (mg kg⁻¹ day⁻¹); and CDI refers to the chronic daily intake of carcinogenic

substances ($\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$). Cancer risk is measured as the probability without units indicating that a person will develop a cancerous tumour. The SF for lead (Pb) is derived from the average chronic daily intake over a lifetime of exposure to lead (Pb). The slope factor (SF) for Pb is ($8.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$) (USEPA, 2005), while the slope factor (SF) for inorganic arsenic (As) due to ingestion is ($1.5 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$; Lim et al., 2008).

The cumulative lifetime cancer risk (CR) from lifetime exposure to particular carcinogenic chemicals (e.g., arsenic and lead) was estimated. The formula used for the assessment of CR is as follows:

$$\text{Cancer risk} = (\text{CDI-RfD}) * \text{SF}$$

According to USEPA (2015) and IARC classifications, CR values below 10^{-6} are considered negligible, values between 10^{-6} and 10^{-4} are regarded as acceptable, and values $>10^{-4}$ indicate an unacceptable carcinogenic risk.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics for the mean and standard deviation for metal concentration and risk index data were computed. Normality tests, such as the Shapiro-Wilk test, were conducted. Tests for assumptions, normality, and homogeneity showed that there were differences between the species or sites. This was confirmed by one-way ANOVA tests. In cases where normality and homogeneity could not be assumed, non-parametric tests such as the Kruskal-Wallis test and the Dunn post-test were conducted. Statistical significance was assumed to be $p < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Heavy Metal Concentration in *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus*

Heavy metal concentrations (Co, Cr, Cd, Hg, Sn, Pb, and As) in the muscle and gill tissues of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from the Alwero and Abay Rivers are presented in Table 1. Statistical

analysis showed significant differences in spatial and tissue-specific variation ($p < 0.05$) between the Alwero and Abay Rivers. The average concentration of detected elements present in *O. niloticus* from the Alwero River was as follows: $\text{Sn} > \text{Cr} > \text{Hg} > \text{Pb} > \text{Co} = \text{Cd}$ for muscles, and $\text{Sn} > \text{Pb} > \text{As} > \text{Cr} > \text{Hg} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd}$ for gills; however, concentrations found in *O. niloticus* from the Abay River had the following order: $\text{As} > \text{Sn} > \text{Cr} > \text{Pb} > \text{Hg} > \text{Cd} > \text{Co}$ for muscle, and $\text{Sn} > \text{As} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cr} > \text{Hg} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd}$ for gills (Table 1).

Heavy metals accumulated in *O. niloticus* in a manner that gill tissues typically contained considerably higher levels than muscle tissues, across both Rivers ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1). *Oreochromis niloticus* from the Abay River had the greatest concentration of metals overall, particularly for Pb ($4.56 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Hg ($2.40 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) in gill tissues, while As was most abundant at $17.65 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ in muscle tissues. Conversely, in the Alwero River, *O. niloticus* displayed a remarkably high concentration of Tin (Sn), reaching $126.74 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ in the gills and $51.50 \pm 0.00 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ in the muscle. However, Arsenic (As) was not detected (ND) in the muscle of samples from the Alwero River, contrasting sharply with the Abay River, where Arsenic reached its highest muscle concentration ($17.65 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DW}$) (Table 1).

Clarias gariepinus exhibited distinct tissue-specific bioaccumulation and significant spatial variation ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1). In the Alwero River, metal concentrations in the muscle followed the order $\text{Sn} > \text{Cr} > \text{Hg} > \text{Cd} = \text{As} > \text{Co} = \text{Pb}$, whereas the gills showed an order of $\text{As} > \text{Sn} > \text{Cr} > \text{Pb} > \text{Hg} > \text{Co} > \text{Cd}$. In the Abay River, the hierarchy shifted; the muscle concentrations ranked as $\text{As} > \text{Sn} > \text{Cr} > \text{Hg} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd} > \text{Co}$, and the gills followed the sequence $\text{As} > \text{Sn} > \text{Hg} > \text{Cr} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd} > \text{Co}$ (Table 1). The gills of *C. gariepinus* caught in Alwero River contained significant levels of both Arsenic (As) ($12.83 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) and Pb ($3.02 \pm 0.00 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), while the Cr levels for gills ($3.11 \pm 0.00 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$),

¹) were also significantly higher than muscle ($1.73 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) (Table 1). Additionally, the gills of the fish collected from Abay River have been reported to have significant levels of As ($30.92 \pm 0.01 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$), while the gills of the same fish from this site had only $0.58 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ of As.

Table 1. Heavy metal concentrations (mean \pm SD, mg kg⁻¹ DW) in the muscle and gill tissues of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from the Alwero and Abay Rivers.

	Water bodies	Tissue	Co	Cr	Cd	Hg	Sn	Pb	As
<i>O. niloticus</i>	Alwero River	Muscle	0.08 \pm 0.00 ^a	1.55 \pm 0.01 ^a	0.08 \pm 0.00 ^a	0.81 \pm 0.00 ^a	51.50 \pm 0.00 ^a	0.49 \pm 0.00 ^a	ND
		Gill	0.65 \pm 0.01 ^b	3.32 \pm 0.01 ^{bc}	0.41 \pm 0.01 ^b	1.46 \pm 0.01 ^b	126.74 \pm 0.01 ^b	3.73 \pm 0.00 ^b	3.35 \pm 0.00 ^a
	Abay River	Muscle	0.41 \pm 0.01 ^c	2.57 \pm 0.01 ^d	0.33 \pm 0.00 ^c	1.57 \pm 0.01 ^b	6.55 \pm 0.01 ^c	1.91 \pm 0.01 ^c	17.65 \pm 0.01 ^b
		Gill	1.16 \pm 0.01 ^d	3.40 \pm 0.02 ^b	0.58 \pm 0.01 ^b	2.40 \pm 0.02 ^c	12.18 \pm 0.01 ^d	4.56 \pm 0.02 ^d	11.18 \pm 0.01 ^c
<i>C. gariepinus</i>	Alwero River	Muscle	0.08 \pm 0.01 ^a	1.73 \pm 0.01 ^c	0.16 \pm 0.01 ^d	1.32 \pm 0.01 ^d	5.03 \pm 0.01 ^e	0.08 \pm 0.00 ^e	0.16 \pm 0.01 ^d
		Gill	0.49 \pm 0.00 ^b	3.11 \pm 0.00 ^f	0.41 \pm 0.00 ^b	1.72 \pm 0.00 ^b	7.77 \pm 0.00 ^f	3.02 \pm 0.00 ^f	12.83 \pm 0.01 ^e
	Abay River	Muscle	0.16 \pm 0.01 ^a	2.13 \pm 0.01 ^d	0.25 \pm 0.01 ^{cd}	1.56 \pm 0.01 ^b	4.59 \pm 0.01 ^g	0.82 \pm 0.01 ^g	30.92 \pm 0.01 ^f
		Gill	0.08 \pm 0.01 ^a	1.19 \pm 0.03 ^g	0.17 \pm 0.03 ^d	1.25 \pm 0.03 ^{bd}	4.66 \pm 0.03 ^g	0.42 \pm 0.03 ^a	0.58 \pm 0.03 ^g

Note: Statistical disparities between mean values within the same column are denoted by distinct superscript letters ($p < 0.05$)

3.2. Heavy Metal Concentrations Comparison with International Safety Guidelines

Muscle tissue samples of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* were examined for heavy metal concentrations to determine whether fish consumption is safe from the Alwero and Abay Rivers (Table 2) using recommended levels from both WHO (1989) and EC (2006). Metal concentrations in the Alwero River were within safe levels, while many of the samples from the Abay River exceeded allowable concentrations. Specifically, Chromium (Cr) levels in *O. niloticus* from the Abay River reached 0.55 mg kg^{-1} , surpassing the 0.5 mg kg^{-1} limit recommended by both WHO (1989) and EC (2006). Lead (Pb) accumulation in the muscle of *O. niloticus* from the Abay River (0.41 mg kg^{-1}) exceeded the maximum permissible limits of 0.3 mg kg^{-1} set by the FAO/WHO (2011) and EC (2006).

Total Arsenic (TAs) burdens in the Abay River reached 5.73 mg kg^{-1} and 3.76 mg kg^{-1} for *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus*, respectively,

substantially eclipsing the safety thresholds of the WHO/FAO (0.5 mg kg^{-1}), FAO (1.5 mg kg^{-1}), and SADOH (3.0 mg kg^{-1}). Crucially, inorganic arsenic (iAs) fractions, the most potent toxicological form exceeded the FSANZ (0.05 mg kg^{-1}) permissible limit by more than sevenfold. Conversely, Cadmium (Cd) and Tin (Sn) levels remained congruent with international safety benchmarks (EC; FAO), suggesting a targeted arsenic enrichment within this riverine matrix.

Table 2. Heavy metal profiles of edible fish tissues from the Abay and Alwero basins compared against global safety benchmarks ($\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ ww}$).

Sampled fish species and location	Pb	Hg	Co	Cr	Cd	Sn	TAs	In As
<i>O. Niloticus</i> , Alwero	0.10	0.16	0.02	0.30	0.02	10.10	0.00	0.000
<i>O. Niloticus</i> , Abay	0.41	0.33	0.09	0.55	0.07	1.39	3.76	0.376
<i>C. Gariepinus</i> , Alwero	0.02	0.29	0.02	0.38	0.03	1.09	0.03	0.003
<i>C. Gariepinus</i> , Abay	0.15	0.29	0.03	0.39	0.05	0.85	5.73	0.573
Organization guidelines	Pb	Hg	Co	Cr	Cd	Sn	TAs	In As
EC 2013			0.05			50		
WHO 1989				0.5				
FAO/WHO 2011	0.3				0.1			
WHO/FAO 1995						50	0.5	
FAO 2004							1.5	
WHO 1985/ 2004							2.0	
SADOH 2004		1.0				50	3.0	
FAO 1983	0.4	0.5			0.05			
EC,2006	0.3	0.5		0.5	0.03			
FSANZ 2000							0.01	0.05

^a FAO, 1983/ 2004 & WHO, 1985. 2004; Ahmad and Al-Mahaqeri, 2015

3.3. Estimated Daily Intake and Public Health Risk Assessment

The Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) was quantified to benchmark dietary exposure against international health-based guidance values (Table 3). The EDI for all contaminants evaluated in both River basins was below the acceptable daily intake (ADI) and provisional tolerable weekly intake (PTWI) limits (Table 3). However, spatial analysis indicated that people consuming fish from the Abay River had a significantly higher risk of being exposed to contaminants than individuals consuming fish from the Alwero River. Notably, the highest EDI for inorganic arsenic (As) in *C. gariepinus* from the Abay River was $224 \times 10^{-06} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ body weight day}^{-1}$, and for chromium (Cr) it occurred in *O. niloticus* from the Abay River ($2.15 \times 10^{-04} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ body weight day}^{-1}$). The highest EDI for tin (Sn) in *O. niloticus* from the Alwero River was $3.9 \times 10^{-04} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ body weight day}^{-1}$, while the EDI for As in *O. niloticus* from the Alwero River was below the limit of detection (Table 3).

The non-carcinogenic risks of heavy metals in the muscle tissues of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from River Alwero and Abay were evaluated using Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) and Hazard Index (HI) (Table 4). The result

showed that THQs for heavy metals found in fish muscle were less than one (below the critical threshold of 1.0). The Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) for heavy metals via consumption of *O. niloticus* from the Alwero River followed the order $\text{Hg} > \text{Cr} > \text{Pb} > \text{Cd} > \text{Co} > \text{Sn}$. A similar trend was observed for *C. gariepinus*, with the sequence $\text{Hg} > \text{Cr} > \text{Cd} > \text{In-As} > \text{Pb} > \text{Co} > \text{Sn}$. In the Abay River, THQ values for *O. niloticus* were highest for Hg (2.83×10^{-02}), followed by In-As (1.7×10^{-02}), Cr (2.51×10^{-03}), Pb (1.40×10^{-03}), Cd (9.59×10^{-04}), Co (1.23×10^{-05}) and Sn (3.5×10^{-07}). Similarly, for *C. gariepinus* from the same site, THQ values followed the order: In-As (2.6×10^{-02}), Hg (2.48×10^{-02}), Cr (1.78×10^{-03}), Cd (6.85×10^{-04}), Pb (5.14×10^{-04}), Co (4.11×10^{-06}), and Sn (2.1×10^{-07}). Notably, THQ values recorded for both species in the Abay were consistently higher than those recorded in River Alwero (Table 4).

Results of the spatial analysis indicated a higher hazard profile in the Abay River for mercury (Hg) and inorganic arsenic (In-As), with Hg and In-As as the two main contributors to risk in this watershed. The highest target hazard quotient (THQ) of Hg (2.83×10^{-02}) occurring in *O. niloticus* from the Abay River was also significantly higher than that of In-As

(THQ=2.6x10⁻⁰²) occurring in *C. gariepinus* from this sample site, which was significantly greater than the level recorded from the Alwero population (THQ=1.4x10⁻⁰⁴). Significant inter-species and site-specific variations in the Hazard Index (HI) were observed (p < 0.05), where *C. gariepinus* had an HI value of 5.40x10⁻⁰² and *O. niloticus* had an HI value of 5.03x10⁻⁰²; the

abundance of Hg and In-As was contributing to the > 2-fold greater HI values for the two species collected from the Abay River than those collected from the Alwero basin (*C. gariepinus* = 2.72x10⁻⁰²).

Table 3. EDI and hazard quotient of heavy metals in muscles of fish species from Ethiopia

Area	Metals	Co	Cr	Cd	Hg	Sn	Pb	Tot-As	In-As
Alwero	ADI(mg kg-1bw/w)1	0.0217 ^a	0.233 ^b	0.07 ^c	0.0033 ^d	0.029 ^e	0.25 ^c	0.009 ^e	3 E ^{-03f}
	PTWI (mg kg-1bw/w)1	0.1519 ^a	1.631 ^b	0.49 ^c	0.0231 ^d	0.203 ^e	1.75 ^c	0.063 ^e	0.021 ^f
	EDI (mg kg-1bw/d)2 (<i>O. niloticus</i>)	7.83×10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.17×10 ⁻⁰⁴	7.83×10 ⁻⁰⁶	6.26×10 ⁻⁰⁵	3.9×10 ⁻⁰⁴	3.91×10 ⁻⁰⁵	ND	ND
Abay	EDI(mgkg-1bw/d)2 (<i>C. gariepinus</i>)	7.83×10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.49×10 ⁻⁰⁴	11.7×10 ⁻⁰⁶	11.4×10 ⁻⁰⁵	42.7×10 ⁻⁰⁵	0.78×10 ⁻⁰⁵	1.17×10 ⁻⁰⁵	1.17×10 ⁻⁰⁶
	EDI (mg kg-1bw/d)2 (<i>O. niloticus</i>)	35.2×10 ⁻⁰⁶	2.15×10 ⁻⁰⁴	27.4×10 ⁻⁰⁶	12.9×10 ⁻⁰⁵	54.4×10 ⁻⁰⁵	16×10 ⁻⁰⁵	147×10 ⁻⁰⁵	147×10 ⁻⁰⁶
	EDI(mgkg-1bw/d)2 (<i>C. gariepinus</i>)	11.7×10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.53×10 ⁻⁰⁴	19.6×10 ⁻⁰⁶	11.4×10 ⁻⁰⁵	33.3×10 ⁻⁰⁵	5.87×10 ⁻⁰⁵	224×10 ⁻⁰⁵	224×10 ⁻⁰⁶

¹ADI: Acceptable daily intake, calculated from provisional tolerance weekly intake; PTWI (ADI= PTWI/7);
²EDI: Estimated Daily Intake; TAs= Total arsenic; IAs = Inorganic arsenic; ^aNordic Council of Ministers (2015);
^bCheung et al., 2008; ^cFAO /WHO, 2010; ^dJECFA (2003).; ^eFSANZ 2003; ^fANZFA 1999.

Table 4. Non-carcinogenic health risk assessment (THQ and HI) associated with dietary exposure to metallic stressors through the consumption of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from the Abay and Alwero River systems, Ethiopia

Area	Metals	Co	Cr	Cd	Hg	Sn	Pb	In-As	HI
Alwero	RfD (mg kg-1 day-1)1	0.1 ^g	0.003 ^g	0.001 ^h	1.6E ^{-04h}	54.98 ^j	0.004 ^h	3E ⁻⁰⁴ⁱ	
	THQ (mg kg-1bw/d) (<i>O. niloticus</i>)	2.74×10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.37×10 ⁻⁰³	2.74×10 ⁻⁰⁴	1.37×10 ⁻⁰²	2.5×10 ⁻⁰⁶	3.42×10 ⁻⁰⁴	ND	1.57×10 ⁻⁰²
	THQ (mg kg-1bw/d) (<i>C. gariepinus</i>)	2.74×10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.74×10 ⁻⁰³	4.11×10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.48×10 ⁻⁰²	2.7×10 ⁻⁰⁷	6.85×10 ⁻⁰⁵	1.4×10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.72×10 ⁻⁰²
Abay	THQ (mg kg-1bw/d) (<i>O. niloticus</i>)	1.23×10 ⁻⁰⁵	2.51×10 ⁻⁰³	9.59×10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.83×10 ⁻⁰²	3.5×10 ⁻⁰⁷	1.40×10 ⁻⁰³	1.7×10 ⁻⁰²	5.03×10 ⁻⁰²
	THQ (mg kg-1bw/d) (<i>C. gariepinus</i>)	4.11×10 ⁻⁰⁶	1.78×10 ⁻⁰³	6.85×10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.48×10 ⁻⁰²	2.1×10 ⁻⁰⁷	5.14×10 ⁻⁰⁴	2.6×10 ⁻⁰²	5.40×10 ⁻⁰²

TAs= Total arsenic, ¹RfD: Reference doses for metals; Hosseini et al., 2015; ^gAsefa (2015) Reference doses for Cr & Co set by FAO ; ^hUSEPA (2009) Reference doses (RfD); ⁱUSEPA (2010) Reference doses (RfD); ^jUSEPA (2000) Reference doses (RfD)

3.4. Carcinogenic Risk Assessment of Lead and Arsenic

Table 5 delineates the lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR) associated with the dietary intake of lead (Pb) and inorganic arsenic (In-As) via *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* muscle. Accordingly, the lifetime cancer risk (CR) assessment associated with the consumption of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* reveals variations in the toxicological safety of the Alwero and Abay River basins (Table 5). The carcinogenic risk for freshwater *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from lead (Pb) ingestion was in the negligible (10^{-7} to 10^{-8}) range on both Rivers, while Inorganic

Arsenic (In-As) presents a significant public health concern for the Abay River basin. *C. gariepinus* in the Abay River had an In-As lifetime cancer risk (CR) of 1.36×10^{-4} and is above the lifetime human safety reliance CR value 1×10^{-4} to 1×10^{-6} (Table 5). This corresponds with a Chronic Daily Intake (CDI) of $9.08 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$ for the same species (Table 5). In contrast, the Alwero River exhibited much lower risk profiles, with In-As concentrations in *O. niloticus* falling below detection limits and *C. gariepinus* showing a marginal CR of 7.14×10^{-7} .

Table 5. Cancer risk for lifetime contaminated heavy metal fish intake from Ethiopia

Metals	Fish species	Alwero River		Abay River	
		CDI	CR	CDI	CR
Pb	<i>O. niloticus</i>	1.59×10^{-05}	1.35×10^{-07}	6.50×10^{-05}	5.53×10^{-07}
	<i>C. gariepinus</i>	3.17×10^{-06}	2.69×10^{-08}	2.38×10^{-05}	2.02×10^{-07}
In-As	<i>O. niloticus</i>	ND	ND	5.96×10^{-05}	8.94×10^{-05}
	<i>C. gariepinus</i>	4.76×10^{-07}	7.14×10^{-07}	9.08×10^{-05}	1.36×10^{-04}

CDI: Chronic daily intake dose (CDI, $\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$); CR: Cancer risk (unitless)

4. Discussion

4.1. Interspecific Variation of Heavy Metal Concentrations in *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus*

In both *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* specimens collected from the Abay and Alwero Rivers, metallic accumulation was significant in the gill tissue than in the muscle tissue. For example, Pb and Sn were found in the gills of *O. niloticus*, and Pb and Cr in *C. gariepinus*. This finding is aligned with several studies that have reported greater metallic accumulation in the gills than the muscle tissue of both *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* (Chan et al., 2021; Pan et al., 2021; Blankson et al., 2023). Also, studies on *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* in Lake Chamo (Reda & Ayu, 2016), Lake Ziway (Masresha et al., 2021), Koka and Aba Samueal Reservoirs (Dessie et al., 2026), Lake Hawassa and Bochasa stream (Samuel et al., 2020), and Omo River and Omo delta Lake (Kotacho et al., 2024) confirm that metabolically active organs (gills and livers)

have significant concentrations of heavy metals compared to muscle tissue. The accumulation of large amounts of metals in the gills of fish may occur because the gills are primarily responsible for metabolizing metals from the water and are also directly exposed to contaminated waters. (Varol et al., 2025).

In the Alwero River, fish gill tissues revealed anomalous levels of tin (Sn) and arsenic (As), reaching 126.74 and 17.65 $\text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{ DW}$. These figures significantly surpass the baseline concentrations typically observed in tropical aquatic environments or regions impacted by mining activities (Albuquerque et al., 2021; Chan et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022). This extreme enrichment suggests that the Alwero basin may be influenced by a potent localized point source or a unique geochemical signature. Potential contributors include industrial discharges (e.g., tanneries, textile and metal processing), agricultural inputs (fertilizers, pesticides,

biocides), and mining, all of which are known to elevate As and Sn in sediments and biota in other River systems (Macklin et al., 2023; Rojas-Conejo et al., 2025). In contrast to Cd, Pb, Cr, Hg, Cu, and Zn, which dominate most African and Asian biomonitoring studies and typically show only low to moderate As in fish tissues (Yang et al., 2022; Tolkou et al., 2023; Almafrachi et al., 2024), the Sn and As levels measured in *O. niloticus* and especially *C. gariepinus* from Alwero are among the highest reported. Moreover, as levels in Abay River fish muscle exceeded those reported for Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes (Dsikowitzky et al. 2013), where As was mainly concentrated in liver and gill and did not constitute a clear consumer health risk (Fernández-Trujillo et al., 2021). The very high Sn concentrations in Alwero fish, therefore, point to major local anthropogenic inputs; however, such high levels in any river-sourced fish are rarely recorded and may be attributed to industrial sources or agricultural chemicals (Parente et al. 2020; Almafrachi et al., 2024).

Chromium (Cr) concentrations in muscle tissue of *C. gariepinus* from Alwero (1.73 mg kg^{-1}) and Abay (2.13 mg kg^{-1}) Rivers were notably higher than those reported for Lake Hawassa and the Boicha stream ($0.08\text{--}0.29 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$; Larissa et al., 2013; Samuel et al., 2020). Conversely, our values for *O. niloticus* ($1.55\text{--}2.57 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$) were significantly lower than the 10.31 mg kg^{-1} reported in the Gilgel Gibe Reservoir (Gure et al., 2019). The observed heavy metal discrepancy likely arises from variations in analytical methodologies, seasonal sampling fluctuations, and differing levels of anthropogenic pressure. Crucially, Chromium is known for its high depuration rate in teleost fish, as it is rapidly excreted and does not typically sequester in muscle tissue (Mahboob et al., 2014).

The accumulation of arsenic in Abay River fish, specifically *C. gariepinus* (30.92 mg kg^{-1}) and *O. niloticus* (17.6 mg kg^{-1}) is strikingly high when contrasted with regional benchmarks. For

instance, Samuel et al. (2020) reported negligible arsenic levels in Lake Hawassa (0.14 to 0.32 mg kg^{-1}), suggesting that the Abay River populations are subject to significantly more intense environmental exposure or distinct bioaccumulation pathways. This is most likely caused by both geogenic and anthropogenic factors. The Abay River Basin has a tectonically and volcanically active background, where arsenic-rich volcanic rocks, arsenic-bearing hydrothermal veins, and hot springs are known to leach naturally into groundwater and sediments (Alamirew et al., 2025). This would naturally increase the concentrations of arsenic in the sediments and groundwater, which could bioaccumulate in aquatic food chains (Osuna-Martínez et al., 2021; Patel et al., 2023). Superimposed on this background are possible anthropogenic effects of long-term agricultural runoff from the Basin, where the use of arsenical pesticides, herbicides, and wood preservatives is a well-documented non-point source of As into soils and surface waters (Kumari et al., 2016; Sankhla et al., 2024). In addition, the influence of industrial wastewater discharge into the Abay River from tanneries and textile mills (Hshe et al., 2020), which could be a local anthropogenic point source of As, has in other areas around the world been documented as increasing As levels in adjacent River waters, sediments, and fish populations to levels posing clear human health concerns (Paul et al., 2025; Tang et al., 2025).

The variations in metal concentrations between *C. gariepinus* and *O. niloticus* (Table 1), indicate the influence of species-specific uptake mechanisms. Research across various Ethiopian water bodies, including Lake Chamo and the Koka and Aba Samuel Reservoirs, has consistently shown *C. gariepinus* to be a more significant bioaccumulator for metals such as Cu, Ni, Cd, and Pb (Reda & Ayu, 2016; Dessie et al., 2021). This physiological trend likely reflects the differing trophic levels and interactions with contaminated sediments inherent to each species' life history. Because *C. gariepinus* feeds on

benthic invertebrates and sediments, the fish is in regular contact with contaminated sediments that contain heavy metals and have the potential to bioaccumulate more toxic substances than *O. niloticus*, which is a primary consumer of planktonic organisms (Jibrin et al., 2025).

4.2. Heavy Metal Concentrations Comparison with International Safety Guidelines

In the context of international safety norms, it is evident that a disparity exists between the two study sites. Fish populations from the Alwero River were within the permissible limits of metal concentration, while those from the Abay River indicated alarming levels of Cr, Pb, and As. These exceedances indicate high levels of site-specific contamination, which in turn indicate that the *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* populations from the Abay River pose a high risk to human health. Exceedance of permissible limits of Cr, Pb, and As in edible fish populations is a common phenomenon in Rivers, especially those contaminated with anthropogenic activities (Biswas et al., 2023; Ahmed et al., 2024). The *Oreochromis niloticus* populations from the Abay River contained a high level of Cr (0.55 mg kg⁻¹), which exceeded the permissible limits of WHO (1989) and EC (2006), which were 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ each. This result agrees with other studies conducted on industrially impacted rivers where Cr in fish often exceeds FAO/WHO limits due to tannery discharges (Lipy et al., 2021; Biswas et al., 2023). Further, Pb concentrations in *O. niloticus* (0.41 mg kg⁻¹) were also above the FAO/WHO (2011) and EC (2006) limits (0.3 mg kg⁻¹). Recent studies have indicated that *O. niloticus* have a greater tendency to bioaccumulate Pb relative to other species due to their foraging behavior in contaminated sediments (Fentie et al., 2025). These results are consistent with many studies from both Asia and Africa, where Pb concentrations have exceeded regulatory limits in both freshwater and estuarine fish, with sources of Pb being urban runoff, vehicular emissions, mining, and informally produced goods (Ahmed et al., 2024). The

finding that Pb concentrations in Alwero fish do not exceed international safety thresholds implies a minimal influence from direct anthropogenic activities in that region. Conversely, the elevated lead levels recorded in the Abay basin point toward localized pollution drivers rather than a broad, regional geochemical background. This disparity highlights a distinct shift in environmental pressure between the two aquatic ecosystems.

The most worrying result for water in the Abay River is the total and inorganic arsenic (As) levels present in fish muscle tissue. The levels of 5.73 mg kg⁻¹ for *C. gariepinus* and 3.76 mg kg⁻¹ for *O. niloticus* are extremely excessive compared to the 0.5 mg kg⁻¹ WHO/FAO (2011) standard and are even more excessive compared to the 3.0 mg kg⁻¹ standard established by SADOH (2004). At any rate, total and inorganic arsenic in freshwater fish species has been associated with hazard index and lifetime cancer risk levels that are outside safe ranges, particularly for susceptible populations such as children (Kotacho et al., 2024). The literature suggests that inorganic arsenic species in freshwater fish species have been associated with carcinogenic risks >10⁻⁴ to 10⁻³ at similar levels to those in Abay River water (Polak-Juszczak & Richert, 2021; Almafrachi et al., 2024).

4.3. Dietary Exposure and Estimated Daily Intake (EDI)

Based on Estimated Daily Intake (EDI) calculations, the consumption of *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* from both the Alwero and Abay Rivers remains within the safety margins defined by Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) and Provisional Tolerable Weekly Intake (PTWI) benchmarks. However, the result reveals a stark spatial contrast in heavy metal exposure. Populations consuming fish from the Abay River face a significantly higher toxicological burden, particularly concerning inorganic arsenic (In-As) and chromium (Cr). For instance, In-As exposure via *C. gariepinus* from the Abay River reached

$2.24 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, while arsenic levels in Alwero's *O. niloticus* remained entirely below detectable limits. This pattern is consistent with studies from other Riverine systems, where EDIs for toxic metals often remain formally below guideline thresholds yet are systematically elevated at more impacted sites, especially for arsenic, mercury, and chromium (Kindie et al., 2020; Melake et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2024; Mahmoud et al., 2025).

4.4. Non-Carcinogenic Health Risk Assessment (THQ and HI)

Based on assessments using the Target Hazard Quotient (THQ) and Hazard Index (HI), the assessed non-carcinogenic health risks are all significantly below the threshold of 1, indicating no expected negative health effects due to non-carcinogenic methods of exposure to metals through fish from Alwero and Abay Rivers. This is consistent with numerous studies from throughout Ethiopia that have found fish consumers generally faced low non-carcinogenic health risk from consumed fish despite localized metal contamination (Reda & Ayu, 2016; Kindie et al., 2020; Melake et al., 2022). However, the THQ and HI values are consistently higher in fish from the Abay River when compared to those from the Alwero River, particularly due to the influence of mercury (Hg) and inorganic arsenic (IAs); therefore, fish from the Abay River have an overall higher hazard potential than fish from the Alwero River. Our findings align with global toxicological data from anthropogenically impacted aquatic systems, where Hg and IAs consistently emerge as the primary drivers of lifetime carcinogenic risk (LCR), even at environmental daily intake levels well below regulatory standards (Panda et al., 2023; Mahmoud et al., 2025).

The individual THQ for Hg among *O. niloticus* was the highest measured (2.83×10^{-2}), and for inorganic As among *C. gariepinus*, measured at 2.6×10^{-2} . The maximum cumulative HI values were 5.40×10^{-2} and 5.03×10^{-2} among *C.*

gariepinus and *O. niloticus*, respectively, more than twice the maximum value among fish from the Alwero River, but much less than the threshold of risk for non-carcinogens (Kalıpcı et al., 2022; Mishra et al., 2023). Similar multi-metal risk profiles have been documented from the Gilgel Gibe Reservoir (Gure et al., 2019); Lake Tana (Kindie et al., 2020); Lake Hawassa (Melake et al., 2022); Omo River and Omo Delta Lake (Kotacho et al., 2024); and Koka and Aba Samuel Reservoirs (Dessie et al., 2026), where agricultural intensification has introduced neurotoxic and carcinogenic trace elements into fisheries (Masresha et al., 2021), which means current exposures via these two Ethiopian fisheries are unlikely to pose significant noncarcinogenic risks for the adult population, but Abay River fish clearly represent a higher-risk commodity in relative terms.

4.5. Carcinogenic Risk Assessment of Lead and Arsenic

The lifetime cancer risk (CR) assessment reveals a stark contrast between the relatively benign levels of Lead (Pb) and the hazardous concentrations of Inorganic Arsenic (In-As). For Pb, CR values for both species in both Rivers (10^{-7} – 10^{-8}) fall well below the level established by USEPA (2012) as considered to be negligible for a lifetime of exposure (10^{-6} to 10^{-4}). Thus, there is little risk for developing cancer due to Pb alone based on current consumption. This study is consistent with Ethiopian studies from Lake Hawassa, Lake Koka, Lake Tana, Lake Hayqe, Borkena River, and the Omo system, where Pb-related CR generally falls inside the internationally accepted 10^{-6} – 10^{-4} range despite occasional exceedance of guideline concentrations in fish muscle (Samuel et al., 2020; Temesgen & Geleta, 2023; Fentie et al., 2025; Kotacho et al., 2024). In contrast, the carcinogenic risk attributable to In-As exhibits a marked spatial and species-specific differentiation. *O. niloticus* did not have detectable levels of In-As in the Alwero basin, while *C. gariepinus* had an exceptionally low

level of In-As ($CR = 7.14 \times 10^{-7}$) that still fell below regulatory limits and was comparable to low-risk scenarios from other River fisheries (Shorna et al., 2021; Dwiwitno et al., 2024).

The carcinogenic profile of the Abay River based on In-As is significantly greater compared to other Rivers. For *O. niloticus* specimens from the Abay River, the calculated Cancer Risk (CR) for In-As (8.94×10^{-5}) is close to the maximum risk of common use (10^{-6} – 10^{-4}), whereas *C. gariepinus* shows a CR of 1.36×10^{-4} at the Chronic Daily Intake (CDI) of $9.08 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$, exceeding the benchmark of 10^{-4} established by USEPA (2012) and others to define minimal lifetime risk for cancer (Adegbola et al., 2021; Fan et al., 2025). Similar exceedances in CR driven by As have been reported in Lake Hawassa and Boicha stream, and the CR from both species are also above 10^{-4} ; therefore, their regular consumption might pose a risk, particularly from catchments that have been impacted by industrial or urban discharges (Samuel et al., 2020). Additionally, systematic reviews of surface waters in Ethiopia have indicated that *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* are effective bio-concentrators of As and Se. When metal levels in dissolved and sedimentary phases exceed recognized global benchmarks, the potential for adverse health outcomes, such as arsenic-induced lifetime cancer risk, increases substantially (Melake et al., 2023). This trend is especially pronounced in watersheds characterized by high pollution indices, where the interaction between contaminated media and aquatic biota facilitates hazardous exposure pathways for local populations.

The markedly lower In-As-related CR in Alwero (non-detectable in *O. niloticus* and 7.14×10^{-7} in *C. gariepinus*) places this basin among the relatively low-risk Ethiopian systems, comparable to Lake Hawassa and Lake Koka scenarios where aggregate cancer risk indices remained within 10^{-6} – 10^{-4} despite some metals exceeding FAO/WHO limits in fish muscle

(Melake et al., 2022; Temesgen & Geleta, 2023). At the same time, the Abay profile aligns more closely with high-impact settings such as Lake Hawassa and Boicha stream and Borkena, where Cr, As, and Cd concentrations in fish tissues were associated with non-negligible carcinogenic risk and call for source control and tighter effluent regulation (Samuel et al., 2020; Melake et al., 2023).

5. Conclusion

While fish consumption from the Abay and Alwero Rivers does not currently pose non-carcinogenic health risks, the contamination levels of Cr, Pb, and As in the Abay River frequently exceed international safety guidelines. Heavy metal accumulation was found in gills more than in muscle tissues and was greater in the benthic feeding *C. gariepinus* than in *O. niloticus*. Of great concern is that the risk associated with carcinogenic inorganic arsenic in the Abay River was greater than the 10^{-4} threshold for *C. gariepinus*, indicating a serious public health hazard due to the long-term consumption of this fish. The results of this study demonstrate an urgent need for targeted pollution source control and continued biomonitoring to protect public health. Continued exposure to carcinogenic metals from the consumption of fish without immediate action may result in an increased cancer risk among residents. Therefore, implementing effective environmental management practices will be critical to the sustainability and safety of the fisheries in Ethiopia.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Wolkite University and Addis Ababa University for institutional support. We acknowledge the cooperation of local authorities and fishing communities in the Abay and Alwero River basins during sample collection. Our gratitude extends to Horticoop Ethiopia PLC for providing laboratory facilities and technical assistance with ICP-OES analysis. We also thank

the anonymous reviewers for their valuable feedback.

References

- Abdullah, M., Ali, S. F., & Khan, S. U. (2024). The overview of the problem of heavy metals contamination in the environment. In *Heavy Metal Contamination in the Environment* (pp. 1-18). CRC Press.
- Adegbola, I., Aborisade, B., & Adetutu, A. (2021). Health risk assessment and heavy metal accumulation in fish species (*Clarias gariepinus* and *Sarotherodon melanotheron*) from industrially polluted Ogun and Eleyele Rivers, Nigeria. *Toxicology Reports*, 8, 1445 - 1460. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2021.07.007>
- Agbugui, M. O., & Abe, G. O. (2022). Heavy metals in fish: bioaccumulation and health. *British Journal of Earth Sciences Research*, 10(1), 47-66.
- Ahmed, M., Nur, A., Sultana, S., Jolly, Y., Paray, B., Arai, T., Yu, J., & Hossain, M. (2024). Risk Assessment and Sources Apportionment of Toxic Metals in Two Commonly Consumed Fishes from a Subtropical Estuarine Wetland System. *Biology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biology13040260>
- Alamirew, D., Ayenew, T., Azagegn, T., & Damtew, K. (2025). Enhancing Groundwater Potential Mapping Through Integrated Validation and Aquifer Characterization in Diverse Geologic Settings: A Case Study of Central Ethiopian Highlands and Adjacent RIFT. *International Journal of Environmental Research*, 19(1), 36.
- Albuquerque, F., Herrero-Latorre, C., Miranda, M., Júnior, R., Oliveira, F., Sucupira, M., Ortolani, E., Minervino, A., & López-Alonso, M. (2021). Fish tissues for biomonitoring toxic and essential trace elements in the Lower Amazon.. *Environmental pollution*, 283, 117024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117024>
- Almafrachi, H., Gümüş, N., & Öcal, Ç. (2024). Heavy metal bioaccumulation in fish: implications for human health risk assessment in ten commercial fish species from Konya, Türkiye. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 22, 4065 - 4074. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13762-024-05875-3>
- Anteneh, Y. E., Mamo, S. W., Yisheber, A. W., & Seyneh, D. T. (2023). Current fishery status in Ethiopian reservoirs: challenges and management. *Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 26(5), 305-317.
- Asmamaw, B., Shitaw, T., & Berisa, L. (2021). The contribution of fisheries to livelihoods of communities around Alwero reservoir in Abobo district, Gambella, Ethiopia. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture-Food Science and Technology*, 9(6), 1201-1207.
- Biswas, A., Kanon, K., Rahman, M., Alam, M., Ghosh, S., & Farid, M. (2023). Assessment of human health hazard associated with heavy metal accumulation in popular freshwater, coastal and marine fishes from south-west region, Bangladesh. *Heliyon*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e20514>
- Blankson, E., Ohene-Obeng, N., Awuah, B., Oduro, D., Ewool, J., & Gbogbo, F. (2023). Heavy Metal Bioaccumulation in Highly Consumed Pelagic and Benthic Fish and Associated Health Risk.

Biological Trace Element Research, 202, 3781 - 3788. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-023-03943-2>

- Bor, C. (2025). Determinant of Pastoralist Participation in Dry Fish Agribusiness Value Chain Activities: An Implication for Employment Opportunities. *Jefore Ethiopian Journal of Applied Sciences*, 1(1), 38-51.
- Chan, W., Routh, J., Luo, C., Dario, M., Miao, Y., Luo, D., & Wei, L. (2021). Metal accumulations in aquatic organisms and health risks in an acid mine-affected site in South China. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 43, 4415 - 4440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-021-00923-0>
- Delilo, G. (2020). Fisheries production and aquaculture development: Current status and future directions in Ethiopia. *Global Journal of Fisheries Science*, 2(2), 14-29.
- Dessie, B. K., Aschale, M., & Kassegne, A. B. (2026). Metals and metalloids in frequently consumed fish species from Koka and Aba Samuel Reservoirs in central Ethiopia: seasonal accumulation, inter-organ distribution, and health risk assessment.
- Dippong, T., Resz, M. A., Tănăselia, C., & Cadar, O. (2024). Assessing microbiological and heavy metal pollution in surface waters associated with potential human health risk assessment at fish ingestion exposure. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 476, 135187.
- Dsikowitzky, L., Mengesha, M., Dadebo, E., Carvalho, C., & Sindern, S. (2013). Assessment of heavy metals in water samples and tissues of edible fish species from Awassa and Koka Rift Valley Lakes, Ethiopia. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185, 3117-3131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2777-8>
- Dwiyitno, D., Andarwulan, N., Lioe, H. N., Imanningsih, N., Giriwono, P. E., Presiana, D., ... & Takhwifa, F. (2024). Estimated inorganic arsenic from total arsenic in fishery products and its health risk to the Indonesian population. *Emerging Contaminants*, 10(4), 100340.
- European Commission. Commission Regulation (EC) (2006). No 78/2005, amending Regulation (EC) No 466/2001 as regards heavy metals L 16/43n45. <http://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2005:016:0043:0045:EN:PDF>
- Fan, W., Li, W., Hu, H., Yan, Y., Ding, X., Luo, Y., & Tang, L. (2025). Trace elements contamination in fish and human health risks from the upper reaches of the Pearl River Basin.. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 213, 117659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2025.117659>
- FAO/WHO (2011). Joint FAO/WHO food standards programme codex committee on contaminants in foods, fifth. session pp 64-89. The Netherlands
- Fentie, T., Kassa, Y., Tibebe, D., Mulugeta, M., Akele, M. L., Alemu, A. K., ... & Birhan, T. A. (2025). Trace metal contamination and health risk assessment in fish from Lake Tana and Lake Hayqe, Ethiopia. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 20, 101705.
- Fernández-Trujillo, S., López-Perea, J., Jiménez-Moreno, M., Martín-Doimeadios, R., & Mateo, R. (2021). Metals and metalloids in freshwater fish from the floodplain of Tablas de Daimiel National Park, Spain. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*, 208, 111602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.111602>

- Gao, Y., Baisch, P., Mirlean, N., Da Silva Júnior, F., Van Larebeke, N., Baeyens, W., & Leermakers, M. (2017). Arsenic speciation in fish and shellfish from the North Sea (Southern bight) and Açú Port area (Brazil) and health risks related to seafood consumption. *Chemosphere*, 191, 89-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.10.031>
- Gure, A., Kedir, K., & Abduro, F. (2019). Heavy Metal Concentrations in Fish Tissues from Gilgel Gibe (I) Hydroelectric Dam Reservoir, Ethiopia. *Journal of Applied Sciences & Environmental Management*, 23(8).
- Habib, S. S., Naz, S., Fazio, F., Cravana, C., Ullah, M., Rind, K. H., ... & Khayyam, K. (2024). Assessment and bioaccumulation of heavy metals in water, fish (wild and farmed) and associated human health risk. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 202(2), 725-735.
- Hishe, T. G., Asgele, D. B., Tesfahunegn, G. B., ZewduTeklehaymanot, A., & Shumey, E. E. (2020). Evaluation of Point Source Pollutants Impact on Water Quality and Self-Purification Capacity of Abay River in Ethiopia. *Evaluation*, 12(3).
- Jadaa, W., & Mohammed, H. (2023). Heavy metals—definition, natural and anthropogenic sources of releasing into ecosystems, toxicity, and removal methods—an overview study. *Journal of Ecological Engineering*, 24(6), 249-271.
- Jia, Y., Wang, L., Li, S., Cao, J., & Yang, Z. (2018). Species-specific bioaccumulation and correlated health risk of arsenic compounds in freshwater fish from a typical mine-impacted River. *The Science of the total environment*, 625, 600-607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.328>
- Jibrin, B., Jidauna, S., & Kefas, M. (2025). Species-Specific Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals in *Oreochromis Niloticus*, *Synodontis Clarias* and *Clarias Gariepinus* from Kiri Reservoir, Nigeria. *British Journal of Multidisciplinary and Advanced Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.37745/bjmas.2022.04245>
- Junior, G. S. C., Souza, V. P., Kratzer, J., Dédina, J., & Flores, E. M. (2024). Plasma-mediated mercury vapor generation after microwave-induced combustion of fish tissue with detection by atomic absorption spectrometry. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 221, 107055.
- Kalıpcı, E., Cüce, H., Ustaoglu, F., Dereli, M., & Türkmen, M. (2022). Toxicological health risk analysis of hazardous trace elements accumulation in the edible fish species of the Black Sea in Türkiye using multivariate statistical and spatial assessment. *Environmental toxicology and pharmacology*, 104028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2022.104028>
- Kindie, M., Andargie, M., Hilluf, W., & Amare, M. (2020). Assessment on level of selected heavy metals in Nile tilapia and Barbus fish species and water samples from the southern parts of Lake Tana, Ethiopia. *Scientific African*, 9, e00519.
- Kotacho, A. A., Yimer, G. T., Sota, S. S., & Berego, Y. S. (2024). Heavy metal levels and human health risk implications associated with fish consumption from the lower Omo River (Lotic) and Omo delta lake (Lentic), Ethiopia. *PeerJ*, 12, e17216.
- Kumari, B., Kumar, V., Sinha, A., Ahsan, J., Ghosh, A., Wang, H., & DeBoeck, G. (2016). Toxicology of arsenic in fish and aquatic systems. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 15, 43-64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-016-0588-9>

- Larissa, D; Mesfin, M; Elias, D; Carlos, EV; Sven, S (2013). Assessment of heavy metals in water samples and tissues of edible fish species from Awassa and Koka Rift Valley Lakes, Ethiopia. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 185:3117–3131.
- Lim, H.S.; Lee, J.S.; Chon, H.T.; Sager, M. (2008). Heavy metal contamination and health risk assessment in the vicinity of the abandoned Songcheon Au-Ag mine in Korea. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 96, 223–230.
- Lipy, E., Hakim, M., Mohanta, L., Islam, D., Lyzu, C., Roy, D., Jahan, I., Akhter, S., Raknuzzaman, M., & Sayed, M. (2021). Assessment of Heavy Metal Concentration in Water, Sediment and Common Fish Species of Dhaleshwari River in Bangladesh and their Health Implications. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 199, 4295 - 4307. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-020-02552-7>
- Macklin, M., Thomas, C., Mudbhatkal, A., Brewer, P., Hudson-Edwards, K., Lewin, J., Scussolini, P., Eilander, D., Lechner, A., Owen, J., Bird, G., Kemp, D., & Mangalaa, K. (2023). Impacts of metal mining on River systems: a global assessment. *Science*, 381, 1345 - 1350. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg6704>
- Mahboob, S; Al-Balawi, FHA; Al-Misned, F; AlQuraishy, S; Ahmad, Z (2014). Tissue Metal Distribution and Risk Assessment for Important Fish Species from Saudi Arabia. *B Environ Contam Tox.* 92:61–66.
- Mahmoud, M., Elshebrawy, H., & Sallam, K. (2025). Health risk assessment of heavy metals-contaminated marine fishes from the Mediterranean Sea at Damietta city coast, Egypt.. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 220, 118426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2025.118426>
- Masresha, A. E., Skipperud, L., Rosseland, B. O., GM, Z., Meland, S., & Salbu, B. (2021). Bioaccumulation of trace elements in liver and kidney of fish species from three freshwater lakes in the Ethiopian Rift Valley. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 193(6), 329.
- Melake, B. A., Endalew, S. M., Alamirew, T. S., & Temesegen, L. M. (2023). Bioaccumulation and biota-sediment accumulation factor of metals and metalloids in edible fish: A systematic review in Ethiopian surface waters. *Environmental Health Insights*, 17, 11786302231159349.
- Melake, B. A., Nkuba, B., Groffen, T., De Boeck, G., & Bervoets, L. (2022). Distribution of metals in water, sediment and fish tissue. Consequences for human health risks due to fish consumption in Lake Hawassa, Ethiopia. *Science of The Total Environment*, 843, 156968.
- Mishra, B., Gautam, G., Chaturvedi, R., Ansari, N., & Mishra, V. (2023). Ecological and Health Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals Bioaccumulation in Ganges Fish Near Varanasi, India. *Biological Trace Element Research*, 202, 4751 - 4766. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-023-04020-4>
- Mohammed, E., Mohammed, T., & Mohammed, A. (2017). Optimization of an acid digestion procedure for the determination of Hg, As, Sb, Pb and Cd in fish muscle tissue. *MethodsX*, 4, 513 - 523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2017.11.006>
- Mulatu, C. A., Yemer, G. G., Abebe, W. B., & Amsalu, Y. (2024). Long-term effects of Abay River flow regulation at Lake Tana on the geomorphic and ecological responses of the downstream River channels, Upper Blue Nile basin, Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 10(22).

- Oros, A. (2025). Bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of heavy metals in marine fish: Ecological and ecosystem-level impacts. *Journal of Xenobiotics*, 15(2), 59.
- Osuna-Martínez, C., Armienta, M., Bergés-Tiznado, M., & Páez-Osuna, F. (2021). Arsenic in waters, soils, sediments, and biota from Mexico: An environmental review.. *The Science of the total environment*, 752, 142062. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142062>
- Pan, B., Wang, Y., Li, D., Wang, T., & Du, L. (2021). Tissue-specific distribution and bioaccumulation pattern of trace metals in fish species from the heavily sediment-laden Yellow River, China.. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 425, 128050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.128050>
- Panda, B., Mohanta, Y., Parida, S., Pradhan, A., Mohanta, T., Patowary, K., Mahari, W., Lam, S., Ghfar, A., Guerriero, G., Verma, M., & Sarma, H. (2023). Metal pollution in freshwater fish: A key indicator of contamination and carcinogenic risk to public health. *Environmental pollution*, 121796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2023.121796>
- Parente, C., Lino, A., Carvalho, G., Pizzochero, A., Azevedo-Silva, C., Freitas, M., Teixeira, C., Moura, R., Filho, V., & Malm, O. (2020). First year after the Brumadinho tailings' dam collapse: Spatial and seasonal variation of trace elements in sediments, fishes and macrophytes from the Paraopeba River, Brazil.. *Environmental research*, 110526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110526>
- Patel, K., Pandey, P., Martín-Ramos, P., Corns, W., Varol, S., Bhattacharya, P., & Zhu, Y. (2023). A review on arsenic in the environment: contamination, mobility, sources, and exposure. *RSC Advances*, 13, 8803 - 8821. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra00789h>
- Paul, S., Jahan, N., Saha, D., Sarker, B., Majumdar, P., & Rahman, M. (2025). Arsenic bioaccumulation in fish of the lower meghna River: Seasonal dynamics, species sensitivity, and public health implications. *PLOS One*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0330602>
- Phaenark, C., Phankamolsil, Y., & Sawangproh, W. (2024). Ecological and health implications of heavy metal bioaccumulation in Thai Fauna: A systematic review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 285, 117086.
- Polak-Juszczak, L., & Richert, J. (2021). Arsenic speciation in fish from Baltic Sea close to chemical munitions dumpsites.. *Chemosphere*, 284, 131326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131326>
- Reda, A. H., & Ayu, A. A. (2016). Accumulation and distribution of some selected heavy metals in both water and some vital tissues of two fish species (*Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus*) from Lake Chamo Ethiopia. *Int J Fish Aquat Stud*, 4(5), 6-12.
- Rojas-Conejo, J., Picado-Pavón, F., Van Gestel, C., Suárez-Serrano, A., Parra-Barrientos, N., Quesada-Román, A., Guillén-Watson, A., & López-Maietta, M. (2025). Mining liabilities as a source of toxic metals and physicochemical contaminants in tropical Rivers.. *Journal of contaminant hydrology*, 276, 104758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconhyd.2025.104758>
- Rosseland, B. O., Massabuau, J. C., Grimalt, J., Hofer, R., Lackner, R., Raddum, G., ... & Vives, I. (2001). Emerge, European Mountain lake Ecosystems: Regionalisation, Diagnostic & Socio-economic Evaluation. Workpackage 5: Fish Ecotoxicology. The EMERGE Fish Sampling

Manual for Live Fish. WP 5 coordinated by: Professor Bjørn Olav Rosseland. *Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Oslo, Norway.*

Roth, V., Lemann, T., Zeleke, G., Subhatu, A. T., Nigussie, T. K., & Hurni, H. (2018). Effects of climate change on water resources in the upper Blue Nile Basin of Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 4(9).

SADOH (2004). South African Department of Health. Regulation relating to maximum levels for metals in foodstuffs. Foodstuffs, Cosmetics and Disinfectants Act, 1972 (Act 54 of 1972), No. R. 500(April)

Samuel, B., Sorsa, S., Daniel, F., Riise, G., & Zinabu, G. M. (2020). Heavy Metals in Fish Muscle from an Ethiopian Rift-Valley Lake (Hawassa) and a Neighboring Stream (Boicha): Assessment of Human Health Risks. *Journal of Applied Sciences & Environmental Management*, 24(8).

Sankhla, M., Sharma, V., Jain, D., Nagar, V., Aseri, V., Rai, A., Awasthi, K., & Awasthi, G. (2024). Exploring the Adverse Effects of Arsenic-contaminated Water on Fish Health and Ecosystem: A Comprehensive Study. *Letters in Applied NanoBioScience*. <https://doi.org/10.33263/lanbs132.071>

Shorna, S., Shawkat, S., Hossain, A., Quraishi, S. B., Ullah, A. A., Hosen, M. M., ... & Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M. (2021). Accumulation of trace metals in indigenous fish species from the Old Brahmaputra River in Bangladesh and human health risk implications. *Biological trace element research*, 199(9), 3478-3488.

Singh, A., Sharma, A., Verma, R. K., Chopade, R. L., Pandit, P. P., Nagar, V., ... & Sankhla, M. S. (2022). Heavy metal contamination of water and their toxic effect on living organisms. In *The toxicity of environmental pollutants*. IntechOpen.

Tang, Y., Li, Q., & Zhang, W. (2025). Unique bioaccumulation and biosynthesis of arsenobetaine in marine fish.. *Aquatic toxicology*, 285, 107426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2025.107426>

Temesgen, M., & Geleta, L. (2023). Consumer health risk assessment from some heavy metal bioaccumulation in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) from lake Koka, Ethiopia.. *Heliyon*, 9 3, e14560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14560>

Tolkou, A., Toubanaki, D., & Kyzas, G. (2023). Detection of Arsenic, Chromium, Cadmium, Lead, and Mercury in Fish: Effects on the Sustainable and Healthy Development of Aquatic Life and Human Consumers. *Sustainability*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316242>

USEPA (2005). Human health risk assessment GE/Housatonic River site, rest of River. In: Appendix C, Consumption Fish and Waterfowl Risk Assessment. Vol. IV. Boston: USEPA Region I, 4–42.

USEPA (2012). EPA Region III Risk-Based Concentration (RBC) Table 2008 Region III, 1650 Arch Street. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

USEPA (2019). USEPA regional screening level (RSL) summary table. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-rslsgeneric-tables>.

Varol, M., Kaçar, E., & Polat, Y. (2025). Metal(loid)s and minerals in fish tissues: health risk–benefit assessment and variations by gender and size. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-025-02608-4>

- WHO/FAO, (1989). Evaluation of food contaminants. 33rd Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Technical Report Series 776, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Yang, F., Zhang, H., Xie, S., Wei, C., & Yang, X. (2022). Concentrations of heavy metals in water, sediments and aquatic organisms from a closed realgar mine. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 30, 4959-4971. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-22563-2>
- Younis, E. M., Abdel-Warith, A. W. A., Al-Asgah, N. A., Elthebite, S. A., & Rahman, M. M. (2021). Nutritional value and bioaccumulation of heavy metals in muscle tissues of five commercially important marine fish species from the Red Sea. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, 28(3), 1860-1866.
- Zhang, J., Yang, T., Wang, N., Luo, X., Li, H., & Liao, Y. (2024). Health risk assessment of heavy metals in wild fish and seasonal variation and source identification of heavy metals in sediments: a case study of typical urban River in Xi'an, China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 31, 8898 - 8916. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-31693-0>